

Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 88 (1995) 43-46



# Photoconductivity study on silicon dichloride phthalocyanine

Hong-Zheng Chen, Mang Wang, Jing Yuan

Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China

Received 22 September 1994; accepted 6 December 1994

#### Abstract

The photoconductivity of silicon dichloride phthalocyanine (SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc) is studied. The results show that SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc has good photoconductivity but that its photoconductivity decreases after solvent treatment. We emphasize the effects of induction and orientation on the photoconductivity of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc by poly(vinyl difluoride) (PVDF) and an electric field. The results indicate that there may exist a polar induction effect of PVDF on SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc; an electric field force can make PVDF and SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc dispersed in it orientate along the electric field direction, and the polarization value P reaches 0.42. Both induction and orientation can increase the photoconductivity of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc.

Keywords: Photoconductivity; Silicon dichloride phthalocyanine

### 1. Introduction

It is well known that amorphous silicon is one of the most developing and promising inorganic photoconductive materials because of its low price, nontoxicity and good spectral response. However, its difficulty in film forming prevents further study. We know that phthalocyanines are very stable and lasting organic photoconductive materials [1], so we think that combining phthalocyanine with silicon may increase the photoconductivity. Although silicon dichloride phthalocyanine (SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc) was synthesized in the early 1960s [2], its photoconductivity has not been reported until now. SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc is a polar molecule because of its two chloride atoms. Our carrier generation mechanism study on metal phthalocyanine (MPc) compounds shows that there exists a partial charge transfer from phthalocyanine ring to metal  $(Pc \rightarrow M)$  in the metal phthalocyanine molecule, which is represented as  $M^{\delta-}Pc^{\delta+}$ . We find that introducing factors which enhance  $Pc \rightarrow M$  partial charge transfer may improve the photoconductivity of phthalocyanines; in contrast, introducing factors that reduce  $Pc \rightarrow M$  partial charge transfer will decrease the photoconductivity of phthalocyanines [3]. Therefore SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc may have good photoconductivity. In this paper we first add poly(vinyl difluoride) (PVDF) as an inducer to increase the polarity of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc and enhance  $Pc \rightarrow M$ partial charge transfer, and then try to introduce molecular orientation and polarity of PVDF and SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc through an electric field force.

#### 2. Experimental details

## 2.1. Materials and equipment

Triphenylamine (TPA), poly(vinyl difluoride) (PVDF) and the other reagents were commercially available and of analytical grade. Silicon dichloride phthalocyanine (SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc), N, N, N', N'-tetraphenyl benzidine (TPD) and poly(vinyl carbazole) (PVK) were synthesized and purified in our laboratory according to published procedures [4–6].

Photoconductive properties were determined on a GDT-II model photoconductivity-measuring device. Polarization spectra were measured on a Hitachi 850 fluorescence spectrophotometer.

## 2.2. Preparation of double-layered photoreceptor device

A double-layered photoreceptor device (PRD) was made by coating an interface layer (IFL) of poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA), a charge generation layer (CGL) of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc dispersed in PVDF or PMMA and a charge transportation layer (CTL) of TPD–PVK on an aluminium plate in that order.

The CGL was coated by two means: (a) coating with no electric field; (b) coating in an electric field  $E = 1.67 \times 10^5$  V m<sup>-1</sup> (see Fig. 1).



SiCl\_Pc-PVDF-DMF solution

Fig. 1. Experimental set-up for solution coating CGL in electric field  $E = 1.67 \times 10^5$  V m<sup>-1</sup>.

# 2.3. Photoconductivity measurement of double-layered photoreceptor device [7]

The GDT-II model photoconductivity-measuring device was used with a visible lamp (5 W, 24 V) as light source to plot the photoinduced discharge curve (PIDC) of the PRD. In this measurement the surface of the PRD was negatively charged and charge carriers were generated in the CGL and injected into the CTL on exposure. From the PIDC we obtain the percentage of potential discharge after 1 s of exposure ( $\Delta V_1$ ) and the time from the original potential to half that value on exposure ( $t_{1/2}$ ). The  $\Delta V_1$  and  $t_{1/2}^{-1}$  values indicate the photosensitivity: the larger they are, the higher is the photosensitivity of the material.

#### 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1. Photoconductivity of silicon dichloride phthalocyanine

One PRD was made with SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc dispersed in PMMA as the CGL and TPD-PVK-PMMA (5:5:1 by weight) as the CTL. Its photoconductivity was measured and is given in Table 1.

From Table 1 we can see that SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc has a high charge acceptance ( $V_o = 890$  V), a low rate of dark discharge ( $R_d = 43.2$  V s<sup>-1</sup>) and a high rate of photodischarge ( $R_p = 375$  V s<sup>-1</sup>). The percentage of po-

Table 1					
Photoconductivity	of	SiCl <sub>2</sub> Pc *	in	various	solvents

tential discharge after 1 s of exposure is  $\Delta V_1 = 58.1\%$ and the time of half-discharge is  $t_{1/2} = 0.69$  s. Under the same experimental conditions the  $t_{1/2}$  and  $\Delta V_1$  values of CuPc are 3.92 s and 21.3% respectively. These indicate that SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc has much better photoconductivity than CuPc.

Table 1 also gives the photoconductivity of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc treated with various solvents. We find that the  $\Delta V_1$  values of solvent-treated SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc are smaller than  $\Delta V_1$  of untreated SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc and that the  $t_{1/2}$  values are longer, indicating that the photoconductivity of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc decreases after dipping in acetone, THF and CH<sub>2</sub>ClCH<sub>2</sub>Cl solvents. This occurs because the solvent treatment induces a morphological transformation of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc crystals [8] which results in a decrease in the photoconductivity of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc.

# 3.2. Influence of dispersant on the photoconductivity of $SiCl_2Pc$

Fig. 2 and Table 2 reveal the influence of the dispersant on the photoconductivity of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc. We find that compared with SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc dispersed in PMMA, SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc dispersed in PVDF has a larger  $\Delta V_1$  and a shorter  $t_{1/2}$ , indicating that SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc dispersed in PVDF has better photoconductivity than SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc dispersed in PMMA.

It has been proved that there exists a partial charge transfer from phthalocyanine ring to metal  $(Pc \rightarrow M)$ in the metal phthalocyanine molecule, which is represented as  $M^{\delta-} Pc^{\delta+}$ , and that all factors enhancing  $Pc \rightarrow M$  partial charge transfer may improve the photoconductivity of phthalocyanines [3,9]. From the structure of  $SiCl_2Pc$  (1) we find that the two chlorine atoms linked to Si can accept electrons strongly because of their large electronegativity, indicating that SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc is a polar molecule. PVDF is a molecule with a permanent dipole moment due to the two fluorine atoms, while PMMA is a non-polar molecule. When PVDF is used as dispersant, its permanent dipole moment will induce SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc molecules dispersed in it to generate an induced dipole moment. In other words, PVDF is an inducer and may increase the molecular polarization of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc, hence enhancing  $Pc \rightarrow M$  partial charge transfer. This is favourable to the photoconductivity of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc.

(V) $R_d$	$(V s^{-1})$ R	$P_{p} (V s^{-1})$	$\Delta V_1$ (%)	$t_{1/2}$ (s) $t_{1/2}$	$\frac{1}{2}^{-1}(s^{-1})$			
43	.2 3	75	58.1	0.69 1	.45			
37 40	.0 3	75	46.8	1.13 (	.88			
32 43	.2 3	75	45.9	1.13 (	.88			
15 49	2.6 2	50	50.5	0.94 1	.06			
	(V)         R.           10         43           17         40           12         43           15         49	$(V)$ $R_d$ (V s <sup>-1</sup> ) $R_d$ 10     43.2     3       17     40.0     3       12     43.2     3       15     49.6     2	$(V)$ $R_d$ (V s <sup>-1</sup> ) $R_p$ (V s <sup>-1</sup> )043.23751740.03751243.23751549.6250	(V) $R_{\rm d}$ (V s <sup>-1</sup> ) $R_{\rm p}$ (V s <sup>-1</sup> ) $\Delta V_{\rm 1}$ (%)043.237558.11740.037546.81243.237545.91549.625050.5	(V) $R_{\rm d}$ (V s <sup>-1</sup> ) $R_{\rm p}$ (V s <sup>-1</sup> ) $\Delta V_{\rm 1}$ (%) $t_{1/2}$ (s) $t$ 1043.237558.10.6911740.037546.81.1301243.237545.91.1301549.625050.50.941			

\* 20% SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc is dispersed in PMMA as the CGL; IFL thickness about 2 μm, CGL thickness about 8 μm, CTL thickness about 30 μm.



Fig. 2. PIDCs of PRDs from 20% SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc dispersed in PMMA (------, E = 0), PVDF (-----, E = 0) and PVDF (------,  $E = 1.67 \times 10^5$  V m<sup>-1</sup>).



Therefore it is the induction effect of PVDF on  $SiCl_2Pc$  that leads to better photoconductivity of  $SiCl_2Pc$  dispersed in PVDF than that of  $SiCl_2Pc$  dispersed in PMMA.

Table 2 Influence of dispersant and electric field on the photoconductivity of  $SiCl_2Pc$  "

SiCl <sub>2</sub> Pc in CGL (wt.%)	Dispersant and electric field (EF)	<i>V</i> <sub>°</sub> (V)	$R_{\rm d} ({\rm V s^{-1}})$	$R_{\rm p}  ({\rm V}  {\rm s}^{-1})$	$\Delta V_{1}$ (%)	<i>t</i> <sub>1/2</sub> (s)	$t_{1/2}^{-1}$ (s <sup>-1</sup>
10	РММА	695	33.6	250	50.7	0.94	1.07
	PVDF	609	28.8	250	60.4	0.62	1.60
	PVDF (EF) <sup>b</sup>	1132	48.8	375	61.4	0.56	1.78
20	РММА	890	43.2	375	58.1	0.69	1.45
	PVDF	546	24.8	250	63.8	0.56	1.78
	PVDF (EF) <sup>b</sup>	1085	48.0	500	68.5	0.44	2.29
60	PMMA	707	26.4	250	45.0	1.25	0.80
	PVDF	785	32.0	375	64.4	0.50	2.00
	PVDF (EF) <sup>b</sup>	1671	80.0	500	66.7	0.44	2.29

\* IFL thickness about 2 µm, CGL thickness about 8 µm, CTL thickness about 30 µm.

<sup>b</sup> CGL is coated in an electric field of  $1.67 \times 10^5$  V m<sup>-1</sup>.

# 3.3. Influence of electric field on the photoconductivity of $SiCl_2Pc$

From Fig. 2 and Table 2 we also find that by coating  $SiCl_2Pc-PVDF$  in an electric field (EF)  $E = 1.67 \times 10^5$  V s<sup>-1</sup>, the photoconductivity of the PRD is better than that of the PRD obtained by coating  $SiCl_2Pc-PVDF$  without an EF. For the 20 wt.%  $SiCl_2Pc$  system the charge acceptance of the PRD coated in the EF is higher than that of the PRD coated without the EF, and  $\Delta V_1$  increases to 68.5% from 63.2% while  $t_{1/2}$  decreases to 0.44 s from 0.56 s. For different proportions of the SiCl\_2Pc-PVDF system the same conclusion can be drawn.

To explain the above results, two CGL films were prepared by coating DMF solution of 20% SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc dispersed in PVDF and removal of the solvent by evaporation with no electric field and with an electric field of  $1.67 \times 10^5$  V s<sup>-1</sup> respectively (see Fig. 1). The films were uncovered and their fluorescent emission polarization spectra were recorded (Fig. 3).

From Fig. 3 we can see that the polarization values of the film with the electric field are larger than those of the film with no electric field and that the maximum polarization value with the EF reaches P = 0.42. The molecules and dipole moment of PVDF are easy to orientate in an electric field because of its permanent dipole moment, i.e. the electric field force can make the molecules and dipole moment of PVDF orientate so that the polarizability along the electric field direction (E) is very large whereas the polarizability along the other two directions is negligible in comparison. This may induce an electrical polarity of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc dispersed in PVDF and make both the molecules and dipole moment of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc orientate also. The result is that the photogenerated carriers can move more easily along



Fig. 3. Fluorescent emission polarization spectra of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc-PVDF film (20:80 by weight),  $\lambda_{ex} = 280$  nm, film thickness about 5  $\mu$ m: a, E = 0; b,  $E = 1.67 \times 10^5$  V m<sup>-1</sup>.

the polarization and the photoconductivity therefore increases.

#### 4. Conclusions

(1)  $SiCl_2Pc$  has better photoconductivity than CuPc but its photoconductivity decreases after solvent treatment.

(2) The photoconductivity of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc dispersed in PVDF is better than that of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc dispersed in PMMA, since the polarity induction effect of PVDF on SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc may enhance  $Pc \rightarrow M$  partial charge transfer.

(3) Coating SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc-PVDF in an electric field may improve the photoconductivity of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc further. The electric field force can cause the molecules and dipole moment of PVDF to orientate along the electric field direction in the CGL, which may also induce orientations of both the molecules and polarity of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc dispersed in PVDF. For the SiCl<sub>2</sub>Pc-PVDF (20:80 by weight) system the polarization value *P* reaches 0.42. The result is that the photogenerated carriers can move more easily along the polarization and the photoconductivity therefore increases.

## Acknowledgements

This work was financed by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and also by the Science Foundation of Zhejiang University.

### References

- [1] F.H. Moser and A.L. Thomas, *The Phthalocyanines*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1983.
- [2] R.D. Joyner and M.E. Kenney, Inorg. Chem., 1 (1962) 236.
- [3] M. Wang, H.Z. Chen, J.L. Shen and S.L. Yang, Sci. China (A), 37 (1994) 497.
- [4] P.C. Kmeger and M.E. Kenney, Inorg. Chem., 9 (6) (1970) 128.
- [5] J. Piccard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 48 (1926) 2878.
- [6] K. Okamoto, Y. Hasegawa, S. Kusabayashi and H. Mikawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 41 (1968) 2563.
- [7] H.Z. Chen, M. Wang, L.X. Feng and S.L. Yang, (a) J. Polym. Sci., 31 (1993) 1165; (b) J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 46 (1992) 1033;
   (c) J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem., 70 (1993) 179.
- [8] M. Wang, S.L. Yang and X.F. Ma, Electrophotography, 28 (2) (1989) 134.
- [9] Z.X. Li, Y.F. Xie and J.Z. Ni, J. Chem., 48 (1990) 1096 (in Chinese).